arXiv:1004.3800v1 [math.AP] 21 Apr 2010

Solutions of a pure critical exponent problem involving the half-laplacian in annular-shaped domains

Antonio Capella[*]

April 23, 2010

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Circuito Exterior, C.U., 04510 México D.F., Mexico.
E-mail address : capella@matem.unam.mx

Abstract

We consider the nonlinear and nonlocal problem

$$A_{1/2}u = |u|^{2^\sharp-2}u \ \text{ in } \ \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where $A_{1/2}$ represents the square root of the Laplacian in a bounded domain with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and $2^\sharp = 2n/(n-1)$ is the critical trace-Sobolev exponent. We assume that Ω is annular-shaped, i.e., there exist $R_2 > R_1 > 0$ constants such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } R_1 < |x| < R_2\} \subset \Omega$ and $0 \notin \Omega$, and invariant under a group Γ of orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ without fixed points. We establish the existence of positive and multiple sing changing solutions in the two following cases: if R_1/R_2 is arbitrary and the minimal Γ-orbit of Ω is large enough, or if R_1/R_2 is small enough and Γ is arbitrary.

1 Introduction

We are interested on the existence of solutions to the problem

$$\begin{cases} A_{1/2}u = |u|^{2^\sharp-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

---

[*] The author was supported by grants PAPIIT IN101209, MTM2008-06349-C03-01 and 2009SGR345.

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ and $2^\sharp = 2n/(n-1)$ is the critical trace-Sobolev exponent, and $A_{1/2}$ stands for the square root of the Laplacian $-\Delta$ in Ω with zero boundary values on Ω. The action of $A_{1/2}$ can be defined as follows: let $\{\lambda_k, \varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ denote the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian with zero Dirichlet boundary values on $\partial\Omega$. Assume $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. Then the square root of the Dirichlet Laplacian, denoted by $A_{1/2} : H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$, is given by

$$u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \varphi_k \ \mapsto A_{1/2} u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \lambda_k^{1/2} \varphi_k.$$

Operators like $A_{1/2}$, and in general fractions of the Laplacian, are infinitesimal generators of Lévy stable diffusion processes and appear in anomalous diffusion in fluids, flame propagation, chemical reactions in liquids, geophysical fluid dynamics and american options.

The local version of problem (1) that involves the Laplacian operator and the critical exponent is given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $2^* := 2n/(n-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. Problem (2) has been widely studied. It is know that the existence of solutions depends on the domain Ω. If Ω is strictly star-shaped, it was showed by Pohozaev [13] that (2) has no non-trivial solution. In [2], Brezis and Nirenberg showed that by adding a small linear perturbation to the critical power nonlinearity compactness and existence of solution are both restored. The first existence results for nontrivial solutions was given by Coron [7] for a domain Ω that has a small enough hole. Later Bahri and Coron [1] showed that the same holds for holes of any size. In [6], Clapp and Weth extended Coron's result to show that if Ω has a small enough hole, (2) has at least two solutions. Regarding sign changing solutions, existence is only know for domains with symmetries. The first of these type of results was given by Marchi and Pacella [11]. For symmetric domains with small holes existence was showed by Clapp and Weth [5], and Clapp and Pacella [4]. In [4] they assume that Ω is annular-shaped, i.e.,

$$0 \notin \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega \supset A_{R_1,R_2} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } R_1 < |x| < R_2\}$$

for some $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and invariant under the action of a group Γ of orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Under these assumptions Clapp and Pacella showed existence in the following two cases: if R_1/R_2 is arbitrary and the minimal Γ-orbit of Ω is large enough, or if R_1/R_2 is small enough and Γ is arbitrary. The multiplicity results of [4] are obtained by using the invariance of (2) under the group of Möbius transformations (see section 2 in [4]).

For the square root $A_{1/2}$ of the Laplacian, Tan established in [15] the nonexistence of classical solutions to (1) for star-shaped domains. In addition, Tan also showed in [15] a Brezis-Nirenberg type result for nonlinearities of the form $f(u) = |u|^{2^\sharp-2}u + \mu u$, $\mu > 0$. In [3], Cabre and Tan studied existence, regularity and symmetry results for problem (1) with power nonlinearities. In particular they showed a nonexistence Liouville result for *bounded* solutions of (1) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, or in $\mathbb{R}^n_+$. The corresponding result for unbounded solutions, that is know for (2), is still open.

The aim of the present paper is to prove existence of solutions for (1). To this end we apply, adapted to our context, the variational principle for sign changing solutions developed in [4]. Using this method we obtain multiplicity results for (1) similar to the ones given by Clapp and Pacella. This variational principle is based on standard variational methods combined with symmetry assumptions to increase the energy interval in which the Palais-Smale condition holds. At the core of the argument are some model functions in low energy finite dimensional spaces, which allow to produce multiple solutions.

Now, we state our hypothesis on the domain. As in [4], we assume that Ω is annular-shaped and invariant under the action of a closed subgroup Γ of $O(n)$, that is, of the orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We denote by $\Gamma x := \{\gamma x \ : \ \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ the Γ-orbit of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by $\#\Gamma x$ its cardinality, and let

$$\ell = \ell(\Gamma) := \min\{\#\Gamma \text{ such that } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

We say that Ω is Γ-invariant if $\Gamma x \subset \Omega$ for every $x \in \Omega$. A function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is Γ-invariant if it is constant along every Γ-orbit.

Now we state our main results:

Theorem 1. *Given* $0 < R_1 < R_2$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{N}$*, there exist a positive integer* ℓ_0*, depending on* m *and* R_2/R_1 *such that, for every closed subgroup* Γ *of* $O(n)$ *with* $\ell(\Gamma) > \ell_0$ *and every* Γ*-invariant domain* Ω *with*

$$0 \notin \Omega \quad \textit{and} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ R_1 < |x| < R_2\} \subset \Omega,$$

problem (1) *has at least one positive* Γ*-invariant solution* u_1 *and* $m-1$ *distinct pairs of* Γ*-invariant sign changing solutions* $\pm u_2, \ldots, \pm u_m$.

In our second main result we consider the existence of solutions for domains with a small hole.

Theorem 2. *Given* $\delta > 0$ *there exist* R_δ *such that: for every closed subgroup* Γ *of* $O(n)$ *with* $\ell = \ell(\Gamma) \geq 2$ *and every* Γ*-invariant domain* Ω *such that*

$$0 \notin \Omega \quad \textit{and} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ R_1 < |x| < R_2\} \subset \Omega$$

and

$$0 < R_1/R_2 < R_\delta,$$

problem (1) *has at least one positive* Γ*-invariant solution* u_1 *and* ℓ *pairs of distinct* Γ*-invariant sign changing solutions* $\pm u_2, \ldots, \pm u_{\ell+1}$.

The proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are based on the following result proved by Cabre and Tan [3]: the nonlocal problem (1) can be realized through a local problem in one more dimension. More precisely, denote the half-cylinder

$$\mathcal{C} = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$$

and its lateral boundary

$$\partial_L \mathcal{C} = \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

Then, if u is a function defined in Ω, consider its harmonic extension v in $\mathcal{C}$ with v vanishing on $\partial_L \mathcal{C}$, then $A_{1/2}$ is given by the Dirichlet to Newmann map on Ω, $u \mapsto \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\big|_{\Omega\times\{0\}}$ of such harmonic extension over the cylinder $\mathcal{C}$. Therefore, instead of (1) we are lead to consider the following mixed boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = 0 & \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial_L \mathcal{C}, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = |u|^{2^\sharp - 2} u & \text{on} \quad \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where ν is the unit outher normal to $\Omega \times \{0\}$. If v satisfies (3) then the trace u on $\Omega \times \{0\}$ of v is a solution of (1). As natural space for solutions of (3) we consider

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) := \left\{ v \in H^1(\mathcal{C}) \text{ such that } v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \partial_L \mathcal{C} \right\}.$$

We denote by Tr_Ω the trace operator on $\Omega \times \{0\}$ for functions in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$, and consistently use the notation

$$u = Tr_\Omega(v) \quad \text{for } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}).$$

For further details on this representation and the involved functional spaces we refer to [3].

Now we have to explain how we translate our assumptions on the domain Ω to the extended domain $\mathcal{C}$. First, we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is an annular-shaped cylinder, if

$$0 \notin \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{A}_{R_1,R_2} := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \ : \ R_1 < |x| < R_2, y \in [0,\infty)\}$$

for some $0 < R_1 < R_2$. Second, we say that a closed subgroup Γ of $O(n)$ acts on the base of

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ := \{(x,y) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ such that } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in (0,\infty)\},$$

if for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$

$$\gamma(x,y) = (\gamma x, y).$$

Hence, $\Gamma(x,y) := (\{\gamma x \text{ s.t. } \gamma \in \Gamma\}, y)$ denote its Γ-orbit and $\#\Gamma(x,y) = \#\Gamma x$ its cardinality. Finally, we let

$$\ell = \ell(\Gamma) := \min\{\#\Gamma \text{ s.t. } (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is Γ-invariant if $\Gamma(x,y) \subset \mathcal{C}$ for every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under Γ acting on the base of the cylinder. As before, a function v is said to be Γ-invariant if it is constant on every Γ-orbit.

Thus, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are corollaries of the following results:

Theorem 3. *Given $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a positive integer ℓ_0, depending on m and R_2/R_1 such that, for every closed subgroup Γ of $O(n)$ with $\ell(\Gamma) > \ell_0$ and every Γ-invariant and annular-shaped cylinder domain $\mathcal{C}$ with*

$$0 \notin \mathcal{C} \quad \textit{and} \quad \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \ : \ R_1 < |x| < R_2, y \in [0,\infty)\} \subset \mathcal{C},$$

problem (3) has at least one positive Γ-invariant solution v_1 and $m-1$ distinct pairs of Γ-invariant sign changing solutions $\pm v_2, \ldots, \pm v_m$.

Regarding the result of domains with a small hole we have:

Theorem 4. *Given $\delta > 0$ there exist R_δ such that: for every closed subgroup Γ of $O(n)$ with $\ell = \ell(\Gamma) \geq 2$ and every Γ-invariant domain $\mathcal{C}$ such that*

$$0 \notin \mathcal{C} \quad \textit{and} \quad \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \ : \ R_1 < |x| < R_2, y \in [0, \infty)\} \subset \mathcal{C}$$

and

$$0 < R_1/R_2 < R_\delta,$$

problem (3) *has at least one positive Γ-invariant solution v_1 and ℓ pairs of distinct Γ-invariant sign changing solutions $\pm v_2, \ldots, \pm v_{\ell+1}$.*

Observe that the proofs given by Clapp and Pacella in [4], for the multiplicity results corresponding to Theorems 3 and Theorem 4, relay on Möbius invariance and in particular on inversion over spheres. In our context, due to the cylindrical shape of our domains, we can not use this inversion. Nevertheless, we manage to carry out the program of [4] by replacing inversion over spheres and Kelvin transform by dilations over cylinders and rescaling.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we define the dilation invariance and construct some radially symmetric test functions with controlled energy (Lemma 2). In Section 3 we prove the variational method for sign changing solutions of [4] adaptaed to our context. In Section 4, we prove two compactness lemmas and Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. Finally, in the appendix we give the proof of Struwe's lemma (Lemma 3.3 Chapter III in [14]) adapted to our context.

2 Dilation invariance and group action

Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ be the dilation given by $\phi(x, y) = (\lambda x, \lambda y)$. This Möbius transformation maps any cylinder $\mathcal{C}$ into its rescaled version $\phi(\mathcal{C}) = \lambda\mathcal{C}$. For $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\phi(\mathcal{C}))$, we define $v_\phi \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$v_\phi(x, y) := (\det D\phi_x)^{\frac{n-1}{2n}} v(\phi(x, y)), \tag{4}$$

where $\det D\phi_x$ is the Jacobian determinant of the transformation restricted to the x variables, that is $\phi_x(x) = \lambda x$ and $\det D\phi_x = \lambda^n$. The map $v \mapsto v_\phi$ is a linear isometry of $H^1_{0,L}(\phi(\mathcal{C})) \cong H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and of $L^{2^\sharp}(\phi_x(\Omega)) \cong L^{2^\sharp}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla v_\phi \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}_\phi \, dxdy = \int_{\phi(\mathcal{C})} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \tilde{v} \, dxdy \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega |u_\phi|^{2^\sharp} dx = \int_{\phi_x(\Omega)} |u|^{2^\sharp} dx \tag{5}$$

We write

$$\|v\|^2 := \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v(x, y)|^2 dxdy \quad \text{and} \quad |u|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp} := \int_\Omega |u|^{2^\sharp} dx$$

The solutions of (3) are critical points of the energy functional $J_\Omega : H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_\Omega(v) := \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^\sharp}|u|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp}. \tag{6}$$

From the invariance (5) it follows that $J_\Omega(v_\phi) = J_{\phi(\Omega)}(v)$. This property allow us to construct certain sign changing test functions, that will be important in the proof of our main theorems.

Let G be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$, and assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is G-invariant on the base. Notice that in this case the orthogonal action of G on $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $\phi v := v_{\phi^{-1}}$ for every $\phi \in G$ where $v_{\phi^{-1}}$ is defined as in (4). Let

$$H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G := \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ such that } \phi v = v \text{ for all } \phi \in G\}$$

be the subspace of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ of G-invariant functions. Clearly, the functional J_Ω is G-invariant, and by the principle of symmetric criticality [16, Theorem 1.28], the restriction of J_Ω to the space $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ are solutions of (3). The nontrivial ones belong to the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^G := \left\{ v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G \text{ such that } v \neq 0,\ \|v\|^2 = |u|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp} \right\}.$$

If $G = \{Id\}$ is the trivial group, then $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G = H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^G = \mathcal{N}(\Omega)$ is the usual Nehari manifold. In this case,

$$\inf\{J_\Omega(v) \text{ such that } v \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)\} = \frac{1}{2n} S_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}} =: c_\infty,$$

where S_0 is the best constant in the Sobolev trace inequality [10] for the embedding $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^{2^\sharp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ denote the closure of the set of smooth functions compactly supported in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}$ with respect to the norm $\|w\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} |\nabla w(x,y)|^2 dxdy$. It is clear that this infimum does not depend on $\mathcal{C}$ and it is never attained for bounded Ω. It was show in [9] that this infimum is achieved by functions of the form

$$U_\varepsilon(x,y) = \frac{\varepsilon^{(n-1)/2}}{(|x-x_0|^2 + (y+\varepsilon)^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}},$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. In addition the best constant is

$$S_0 = \frac{(n-1)\sigma_n^{1/n}}{2},$$

where σ_n is the volume of the n-dimensional sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If G is nontrivial, the infimum for bounded Ω might be attained. For example, if

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{R_1,R_2} := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \ :\ x \in A_{R_1,R_2} \text{ and } y \in [0,\infty)\}$$

where

$$A = A_{R_1,R_2} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \ :\ 0 < R_1 < |x| < R_2\},$$

and $G = O(n)$, then $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{A}_{R_1,R_2})$ becomes the space of radial functions and

$$c(R_1,R_2) := \inf\left\{ J_{A_{R_1,R_2}}(v) \text{ such that } v \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}_{R_1,R_2})^{O(n)} \right\}$$

is always attained.

The next elementary lemma contains this result.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_{R_1,R_2}$, there exist a radially symmetric, positive, classical solution solution of* (3)*.*

Proof. Consider the energy functional

$$J_A^+(v) := \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^\sharp}|u^+|_{2^\sharp}^{2^\sharp}$$

restricted to $X = \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{A}) \;:\; u = tr_\Omega(v) \text{ is radially symmetric}\}$ and where as usual $u^+ := \max\{0, u\}$. It is straight forward that J_A^+ satisfies the assumptions of the mountain pass theorem. Thus, it only remains to prove the Palais-Smale condition. Let $(v_m)_m \subset X$ be such that

$$d := \sup_n J_A^+(v_m) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad DJ_A^+(v_m) \to 0 \quad X',$$

where X' denotes the dual space of X. To show that $(v_m)_m$ is bounded, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} o(1)(1 + \|v_m\|) + 2d &\geq 2J_A^+(v_m) - \langle DJ_A^+(v_m), v_m\rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{2^\sharp}\right)|u_m|_{2^\sharp}^{2^\sharp} = \frac{1}{n}|u_m|_{2^\sharp}^{2^\sharp}, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence,

$$\|v_m\| = 2J_A^+(v_m) + \frac{2}{2^\sharp}|u_m|_{2^\sharp}^{2^\sharp} \leq 4d + o(1)\|v_m\|^2$$

and $(v_m)_m$ is bounded. Hence, we may assume

$$v_m \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{A}).$$

By the compactness of the embedding $Tr_\Omega(H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{A})) \subset L^p(A)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ in annular domains, we have

$$u_m = Tr_\Omega(v_m) \to u \quad \text{in } L^{2^\sharp}(A). \tag{7}$$

In turn, this imply that

$$|u_m|^{2^\sharp-2}u_m \to |u|^{2^\sharp-2}u \quad \text{in } L^{2^\sharp/(2^\sharp-1)}(A).$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{A}} |\nabla(v_m - v)|^2 dxdy &= \langle DJ_A^+(v_m) - DJ_A^+(v), v_m - v\rangle \\ &\qquad + \int_A \left(|u_m^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u_m^+ - |u^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u^+\right)(u_m - u)\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, by weak convergence

$$\langle DJ_A^+(v_m) - DJ_A^+(v), v_m - v\rangle \to 0, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty,$$

and from Hölder inequality it follows

$$\int_A \left(|u_m^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u_m^+ - |u^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u\right)(u_m-u)\,dx$$
$$\leq \left(\int_A \left||u_m^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u_m^+ - |u^+|^{2^\sharp-2}u\right|^q dx\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_A |u-u_m|^{2^\sharp}dx\right)^{1/2^\sharp},$$

where $q = 2^\sharp/(2^\sharp-1)$. Thus, from (7) by letting $m\to\infty$ we conclude that $\|v_m - v\|\to 0$.

Finally, by the regularity theory (see [3, Section 3]) we find that $v\in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathcal{A})$, and the maximum principle (see also [3, Section 4]) implies that v is positive in $\mathcal{A}$. ☐

In the following lemma, we construct some radially symmetric test functions with controlled energy. These functions will be used in the proof of our main theorems.

Lemma 2. *Given* $0<R_1<R_2$ *and* $m\in\mathbb{N}$, *there exist* $R_1 =: P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_m := R_2$ *and positive radial functions* $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_m \in \mathcal{N}(A_{R_1,R_2})^{O(n)}$ *such that*

$$\textit{supp}(\omega_i)\subset \mathcal{A}_{P_i,P_{i+1}} \quad \textit{and} \quad J_A(\omega_i) = c(R_1^{1/m},R_2^{1/m}),\quad i=1,\ldots,m.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = (R_2/R_1)^{1/m}$ and define $P_i = \lambda^i R_1$, for $i=1,\ldots,m$. Let ϕ be the dilation by λ, that is

$$\phi(x,y) = \lambda(x,y).$$

Now, fix a positive radial minimizer ω_1 of J_A on $\mathcal{N}(A_{P_0,P_1})^{O(n)}$ and define

$$\omega_{i+1}(x,y) := \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\omega_i(\lambda x,\lambda y).$$

Since $\phi(\mathcal{A}_{P_{i-1},P_i}) = \mathcal{A}_{P_i,P_{i+1}}$, the invariance by dilations yields that w_{i+1} is a positive radial minimizer of J_A on $\mathcal{N}(A_{P_i,P_{i+1}})^{O(n)}$, with $J_{A_{P_i,P_{i+1}}}(\omega_{i+1}) = J_{A_{P_0,P_1}}(\omega_1) = c(P_0,P_1)$. Finally, by rescaling, it follows easily that $c(P_0,P_1) = c(R_1^{1/m},R_2^{1/m})$. ☐

3 A variational principle for sign changing solutions

In this section we prove a mountain pass lemma for sign changing solutions. The results in this section closely follow the ones of [4, Section 3] adapted to the present setting. For completeness we will quote all need results, and where no mayor changes are needed we refer to the proof given in [4].

Let G be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$ and let $\mathcal{C} = \Omega\times[0,\infty)$ be an G-invariant on the base subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. If v is a sign changing G -invariant solution of (3) it must lie in the set

$$\mathcal{E}^G := \{v\in\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^G \text{ such that } v^+,v^-\in\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^G\}$$

where $v^+ := \max\{0, v\}$ and $v^- := \min\{0, v\}$. Consider the negative gradient flow $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ of J_Ω, defined by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi(t, v) = -DJ_\Omega(\varphi(t, v))) \\ \\ \varphi(0, v) = v, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{G} := \{(t, v),$ s.t. $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G, 0 \leq t \leq T(v)\}$ and $T(v) \in (0, \infty]$ is the maximal existence time of the trajectory $t \mapsto \varphi(t, v)$. We say that a subset $\mathcal{D}$ of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ is strictly positive invariant under φ if

$$\varphi(t, v) \in \text{int}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{for every } v \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and every } t \in (0, T(v))$$

where int$(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the interior of $\mathcal{D}$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is strictly positively invariant under φ, then the set

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) := \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G \text{s.t. } \varphi(t, v) \in \mathcal{D} \text{ for some } t \in (0, T(v))\}$$

is open in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$, and the time entrance map $\tau_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\tau_{\mathcal{D}}(v) := \inf\{t \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \varphi(t, v) \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

is continuos. We write $\mathcal{P}^G := \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ s.t. $v \geq 0\}$ for the convex cone of positive functions in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ and, for $\alpha > 0$, we set

$$B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) := \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}) \text{ s.t. dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G) \leq \alpha\},$$

where dist$(v, \mathcal{J}) := \inf_{w \in \mathcal{J}} \|v - w\|$.

Lemma 3. *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that*
(a) $\left[B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \cup B_\alpha(-\mathcal{P}^G)\right] \cap \mathcal{E}^G = \emptyset$
(b) $B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$ *and* $B_\alpha(-\mathcal{P}^G)$ *are strictly invariant under* φ*.*

Proof. Now we give the argument for (a). For every $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$,

$$|u^-|_{2^\sharp} = \min_{w \in \mathcal{P}^G} |u - Tr_\Omega(w)|_{2^\sharp} \leq S_0^{-1} \min_{w \in \mathcal{P}^G} \|v - w\| = S_0^{-1} \text{dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G), \tag{8}$$

where S_0 is the best constant in the trace-Sobolev embedding $H^1_{0,L}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^{2^\sharp}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, since $\inf_{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^G} J_\Omega > 0$, there exist α such that dist$(v, \mathcal{P}^G) > \alpha$ for every $v \in \mathcal{E}^G$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{E}^G$ is symmetric with respect to the origin, dist$(v, -\mathcal{P}^G) =$ dist$(-v, \mathcal{P}^G) > \alpha$, and (a) follows.

In order to prove (b), we only need to consider $B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$. The gradient $DJ_\Omega : H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G \to H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ is given by

$$DJ_\Omega(v) = v - K(u),$$

where $K(u)$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta K(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{C} \\ K(u) = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{C} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} K(u) = |u|^{2^\sharp - 2} u & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

That is, $K(u)$ is determined by the relation

$$\langle K(u), w \rangle = \int_\Omega |u|^{2^\sharp - 2} u \, Tr_\Omega(w) \, dx$$

for every $w \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$. By the maximum principle [3, Lemma 4.1]

$$K(u) \in \mathcal{P}^G \;\text{ if }\; v \in \mathcal{P}^G,$$

we recall that $Tr_\Omega(v) = u \geq 0$ for every $v \in \mathcal{P}^G$. Let $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ and $w \in \mathcal{P}^G$ be such that $\text{dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G) = \|v - w\|$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(K(u), \mathcal{P}^G)\|K(u)^-\| \leq \|K(u)^-\|^2 &= \langle K(u), K(u)^- \rangle \\ &= \int_\Omega |u|^{2^\sharp - 2} u \, Tr_\Omega(K(u)^-) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_\Omega |u^-|^{2^\sharp - 2} u^- \, Tr_\Omega(K(u)^-) \, dx \\ &\leq |u^-|_{2^\sharp}^{2^\sharp - 1} |Tr_\Omega(K(u)^-)|_{2^\sharp} \\ &\leq S_0^{2^\sharp - 1} \text{dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G)^{2^\sharp - 1} \|K(u)^-\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{dist}(K(u), \mathcal{P}^G) \leq S_0^{2^\sharp - 1} \text{dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G)^{2^\sharp - 1}.$$

Then, given $\nu < 1$ there exist an $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that if $\alpha < \alpha_0$,

$$\text{dist}(K(u), \mathcal{P}^G) \leq \nu \, \text{dist}(v, \mathcal{P}^G) \quad \text{for every } v \in B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G).$$

Thus, $K(u) \in \text{int}(B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G))$ if $v \in B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$. Since $B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$ is closed and convex, Theorem 5.2 in [8] implies

$$v \in B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(t, v) \in B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \;\text{ for }\; t \in [0, T(v)). \tag{9}$$

To conclude the proof, by contradiction we assume that there exist $v \in B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$ and $t \in (0, T(v))$ such that $\varphi(t, v) \in \partial B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$. Mazur's separation theorem (see e.g. Theorem 2.219 in [12]) gives the existence of a continuos linear functional $L \in (H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G)'$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $L(\varphi(t, v)) = \beta$ and $L(v) > \beta$. for $v \in \text{int}(B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G))$. It follows

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=t} L(\varphi(s, v)) = L(-DJ(\varphi(t, v))) = L(K(\varphi(t, v))) - \beta > 0.$$

Hence, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $L(\varphi(s, v)) < \beta$ for $s \in (t - \varepsilon, t)$. Thus, $\varphi(s, v) \notin B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G)$ for $s \in (t - \varepsilon, t)$. This contradicts (9) and finish the proof. ☐

Fix $\alpha > 0$ as in Lemma 3. Then J_Ω has no sign changing critical points in $B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \cup B_\alpha(-\mathcal{P}^G)$. Let $J^d := \{v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G \text{ s.t. } J_\Omega(v) \leq d\}$.

Corollary 1. *If J_Ω has no changing critical points $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ with $J_\Omega(v) = d$, then the set*

$$\mathcal{D}^G_d := B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \cup B_\alpha(-\mathcal{P}^G) \cup J^d$$

is strictly positively invariant under φ, and the map

$$\varrho : \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{D}^G_d) \to \mathcal{D}^G_d, \quad \varrho_d(v) := \varphi(e_{\mathcal{D}^G_d}(v), v)$$

is odd and continuous, and satisfies $\varrho_d(v) = v$ for every $v \in \mathcal{D}^G_d$.

We will say that a subset $\mathcal{Y}$ of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ is symmetric if $-v \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $v \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Definition 1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be symmetric subsets of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$. The genus $g(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D})$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ relative to $\mathcal{D}$ is defined as the smallest number m such that $\mathcal{Y}$ can be covered by $m+1$ open symmetric subset $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_m$ of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ such that:*

(i) $\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{U}_0$ and there exists an odd continuous map $\vartheta_0 : \mathcal{U}_0 \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $\vartheta_0(v) = v$ for $v \in \mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{D}$.

(ii) there exist odd continuous maps $\vartheta_j : \mathcal{U}_j \to \{1, -1\}$ for every $j = 1, \ldots, m$.

If no such cover exists, we define $g(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}) = \infty$.

If $\mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ we write $g(\mathcal{Y}) = g(\mathcal{Y}, \emptyset)$ and as pointed in [4] this is the usual Krasnoselskii genus. The set $\mathcal{D}$ is called a symmetric neighborhood retract if there exist a symmetric neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ and an odd continuous map $\varrho : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varrho(v) = v$ for every $v \in \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 2. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be subsets of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ relative to $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{H}$, if every sequence $(v_m)_m$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$v_m \notin \mathcal{D}, \quad J_\Omega(v_m) \to c, \quad DJ_\Omega(v_m) \to 0,$$

has a convergent subsequence. If $\mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ we simply say that J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

Set $\mathcal{D}^G_c := B_\alpha(\mathcal{P}^G) \cup B_\alpha(-\mathcal{P}^G) \cup J^c$ and define

$$c_j := \inf\{c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } g(\mathcal{D}^G_c, \mathcal{D}^G_0) \geq j\}.$$

Proposition 1. *Assume J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_{c_j}$ relative to $\mathcal{D}^G_0$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$. Then, the following holds:*

(a) There exists a sign changing critical point $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\Omega)^G$ of J_Ω with $J_\Omega(v) = c_j$.

(b) If $c_{j+1} = c_j$, then J_Ω has infinitely many sign changing critical points $v \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ with $J_\Omega(v) = c_j$.

Consequently, if J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ reltive to D_0^G in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ for every $c \le d$, then J_Ω has at least $g(\mathcal{D}_d^G, \mathcal{D}_0^G)$ pairs of sign changing critical points v in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ with $J_\Omega(v) \le d$.

Now, we state the mountain pass results for sign changing solutions.

Theorem 5. *Let W be a finite dimensional subspace of $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ and let $d := \sup_W J_\Omega$. If J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ relative to $\mathcal{D}_0^G$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^G$ for every $c \le d$, then J_Ω has at least dim$(W) - 1$ pairs of sign changing critical points $v \in H^1_0(\mathcal{C})^G$ with $J_\Omega(v) \le d$.*

For the proofs of Proposition 1 and Theorem 5 we refer to Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 in [4].

4 Existence of multiple solutions in annular-shaped domains

Let Γ be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$, and let

$$\ell := \min\{\#\Gamma x \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\}$$

In the proof of our main theorems we need the two following compactness lemmas.

Lemma 4. *The energy functional J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^\Gamma$ for every $c < \ell c_\infty$.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1 we have that any $(PS)_c$ sequence $(v_m)_m$ is bounded. Thus,

$$v_m \rightharpoonup v^0 \qquad \text{in } \ H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}), \tag{10}$$

$$u_m \rightharpoonup u^0 \qquad \text{in } \ L^{2^\sharp}(\Omega), \tag{11}$$

where last line follows from the first one and the trace-Sobolev inequality. Hence,

$$w_m = v_m - v^0 \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{12}$$

We assume that $w_m \not\rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$, otherwise there is nothing to proof.

Now we proceed in two steps:

Step 1 *The funtion $v^0 \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ is a weak solution of* (3)*. Moreover,*

$$J_\Omega(w_m) \to \beta \le c - c_\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad DJ_\Omega(w_m) \to 0.$$

Indeed, in view of (10) and (11) for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle DJ_\Omega(v_m), \varphi\rangle \;&=\; \int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla v_m \cdot \nabla\varphi \, dxdy - \int_\Omega |u_m|^{2^\sharp-2} u_m \varphi \, dx \\ &\to\; \int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla v^0 \cdot \nabla\varphi \, dxdy - \int_\Omega |u^0|^{2^\sharp-2} u^0 \, \varphi \, dx = \langle DJ_\Omega(v^0), \varphi\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, v^0 weakly solves (3).

Because of (10), (11) and Vitali's theorem (see e.g. [14, Theorem I.4.2]) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla w_m|^2 dxdy &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v_m|^2 dxdy - \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v^0|^2 dxdy + o(1), \\ \int_{\Omega} |Tr_{\Omega}(w_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx &= \int_{\Omega} |u_m|^{2^\sharp} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u^0|^{2^\sharp} dx + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Hence,

$$J_\Omega(w_m) = J_\Omega(v_m) - J_\Omega(v^0) + o(1),$$

and

$$DJ_\Omega(w_m) = DJ_\Omega(v_m) - DJ_\Omega(v^0) + o(1) = o(1)$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$. Therefore,

$$J_\Omega(w_m) \to \beta \le c - c_\infty, \quad DJ_\Omega(w_m) \to 0,$$

and the claim follows.

Step 2 *Conclusion.*

Let v be a weak solution of (3) in any domain $\mathcal{C}' = \Omega' \times [0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, then

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}'} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega'} |u|^{2^\sharp - 2} u \varphi \, dx = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C^\infty_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}')$. By approximation, we may choose $\varphi = v$ to get

$$0 = \langle DJ_\Omega(v), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v|^2 dxdy - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^\sharp} dx.$$

Recalling the trace-Sobolev inequality

$$S_0 |u|^2_{2^\sharp} \le \|v\|^2_2 = |u|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp}, \tag{13}$$

we get that any non-trivial critical point satisfies

$$J_\Omega(v) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^\sharp} \right) |u|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp} \ge \frac{1}{2n} S_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = c_\infty > 0.$$

Under our symmetry assumptions, it follows from Lemma 6 in the Appendix, that there must exist zero, or at least ℓ subsequences $\widetilde{w}^j_m$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, such that,

$$J_\Omega(v_m) \ge J_\Omega(v^0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} J_\Omega(w^j_m) + o(1),$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Letting $m \to \infty$ we find

$$c \ge (\ell + 1) c_\infty,$$

a contradiction with the assumption $c \le \ell c_\infty$. □

Lemma 5. *If $\ell \geq 2$ the there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that J_Ω satisfies $(PS)_c$ relative to $\mathcal{D}_0^\Gamma$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^\Gamma$ for every $c < (\ell+1)c_\infty + \varepsilon_0$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, c_\infty]$ and $(v_m)_m$ be a sequence such that

$$v_m \notin \mathcal{D}_0^\Gamma, \quad J_\Omega(v_m) \to c < (\ell+1)c_\infty + \varepsilon_0, \quad DJ_\Omega(v_m) \to 0.$$

By contradiction assume that $(v_m)_m$ has no convergent subsequence. We claim that there exist ℓ sequences $(\widetilde{w}^j_m)_m$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ with

$$\widetilde{w}^j_m(x,y) = (R^j_m)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \omega^0(R^j_m(x - x^j_m), R^j_m y) \tag{14}$$

where $\omega^0 \geq 0$ (or $\omega^0 \leq 0$) is a weak solution of (3) in either $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ or in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{++}$, and such that

$$\left\| v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}^j_m \right\| \to 0. \tag{15}$$

Assuming the claim for a moment we conclude the proof. From (15) we have that

$$\text{dist}(v_m, \mathcal{P}^\Gamma \cup -\mathcal{P}^\Gamma) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty,$$

contradicting the fact that $v_m \notin D_0^\Gamma$. Hence, the conclusion of the lemma follows.

Now we prove our claim. By symmetry of the problem, from Lemma 6 in the Appendix, there exist at least ℓ sequences $(\widetilde{w}^j_m)_m$ such that (14) holds and ω^0 is a weak solution of (3) in either $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ or in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{++}$. Now, to show that that $\omega^0 \geq 0$ (or equivalently $\omega^0 \leq 0$), we decompose the solution ω^0 into its positive and negative parts

$$\omega^0 = \omega^0_+ + \omega^0_-,$$

where $\omega^0_\pm = \pm \max\{\pm\omega^0, 0\}$. Upon testing (3) with $\omega^0_\pm$ from the trace-Sobolev inequality (13) we find $J_\Omega(\omega^0_\pm) \geq c_\infty$. Hence,

$$J_\Omega(\omega^0) = J_\Omega(\omega^0_+) + J_\Omega(\omega^0_-) > 2c_\infty.$$

Because invariance under rescaling and symmetry, we have

$$J_\Omega(v_m) \to c \geq \ell J_\Omega(\omega^0) > 2\ell c_\infty \quad \text{as} \ m \to \infty.$$

But, in turn this imply that

$$2\ell c_\infty < (\ell+1)c_\infty + \varepsilon_0 \leq (\ell+2)c_\infty.$$

Thus $\ell < 2$, a contradiction. Hence, either $\omega^0_+ \equiv 0$ or $\omega^0_- \equiv 0$.

Finally, we only need to show (15). Assume by contradiction that

$$v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}_m^j \nrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Hence, on the one hand side, we may apply Lemma 6 to conclude that there exist a solution z^0 of (3) and a sequence $\widetilde{z}_m = (R_m)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} z^0(R_m(x - x_m^j), R_m y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\Omega\left(v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}_m^j - \widetilde{z}_m\right) &= J_\Omega(v_m) - \ell J_\Omega(\omega^0) - J_\Omega(z^0) + o(1) \\ &< (\ell+1)c_\infty + \varepsilon_0 - (\ell+1)c_\infty < c_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 6, we find

$$v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}_m^j - \widetilde{z}_m \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{16}$$

On the other hand side, because the symmetry assumptions, there must exist ℓ sequences $(\widetilde{z}_m^j)_m$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ (all of them generated by z^0), such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\Omega\left(v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}_m^j - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{z}_m^j\right) &= J_\Omega(v_m) - \ell J_\Omega(\omega^0) - \ell J_\Omega(z^0) + o(1) \\ &\leq c - \ell c_\infty < (2-\ell)c_\infty < c_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$v_m - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{w}_m^j - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \widetilde{z}_m^j \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{17}$$

Because of the symmetry, combining (16) and (17), we have

$$\widetilde{z}_m^j \to 0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, a contradiction. Hence, (15) and the lemma follows. □

Now we can give the proofs of our main theorems:

Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$\ell_0 := \frac{1}{c_\infty} mc(R_1^{1/m}, R_2^{1/m}) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$$

be positive radial functions as in Lemma 2.

Let $W_k := \text{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ be the vector space generated by the first k functions $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$. Since for $i \neq j$ the functions ω_i and ω_j have disjoint support, they are orthogonal in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})^\Gamma$. Thus, $\dim W_k = k$.

Because $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$, for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ we have

$$\max_{W_k} J_\Omega \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_t J_\Omega(t\omega_i) \leq kc(R_1^{1/m}, R_2^{1/m}) \leq \ell_0 c_\infty.$$

By assumption $\ell > \ell_0$, then

$$\max_{W_k} J_\Omega \leq \ell c_\infty$$

and Lemma 4 implies that

$$\inf_{\mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma} J$$

is attained at a positive solution $v_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ with

$$J_\Omega(v_1) \leq c(R_1^{1/m}, R_2^{1/m}).$$

Moreover, Theorem 5 and Lemma 5 yield the existence of $m-1$ distinct pairs of sign changing critical points $\pm v_2, \dots, \pm v_m \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ of J_Ω with

$$J_\Omega(v_k) \leq kc(R_1^{1/m}, R_2^{1/m}),$$

and this finishes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, c_\infty)$ be as in Lemma 5. Assume without loss of generality that $\delta < \varepsilon_0$. Due to the dilation invariance of J_Ω, $c(R_1, R_2) = c(R_1/R_2, 1)$ and it is easy to see that

$$c(R, 1) \to c_\infty \qquad \text{as} \qquad R \to 0.$$

Therefore, there exist R_δ such that

$$c(R_1^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}}, R_2^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}}) < c_\infty + \frac{\delta}{\ell+1} \qquad \text{if } R_1/R_2 < R_\delta.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3 let $W_k := \text{span}\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k\}$ be the space generated by $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$. As before $\dim W_k = k$, and for each $k = 1, \dots, \ell+1$,

$$\max_{W_k} J \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_t J(t\omega_i) \leq kc(R_1^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}}, R_2^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}}) \leq kc_\infty + \delta < (\ell+1)c_\infty + \varepsilon_0.$$

Since $\ell \geq 2$, we have that $J_\Omega(\omega_1) \leq c_\infty + \delta < \ell c_\infty$. Thus, on the one hand side, Lemma 4 yields the existence of a positive solution $v_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ with $J_\Omega(v_1) \leq c_\infty + \delta$.

On the other hand side, Theorem 5 and Lemma 5 gives the existence of ℓ distinct pairs of sign changing critical points $\pm v_2, \dots, \pm v_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ of J_Ω with

$$J_\Omega(v_k) \leq kc_\infty + \delta, \qquad k = 2, \dots, \ell+1,$$

and this finishes the proof. $\square$

5 Appendix

This appendix is devoted to prove Struwe's lemma (see Lemma 3.3 Chapter III in [14]) in the context of problem (3). As mentioned in the introduction, a Liouville theorem in all of $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ for *unbounded* solutions of (1) is still an open question. Since this theorem is part of the ingredients needed in the proof Struwe's lemma, we don't achieve its full strength. Nevertheless, for our purposes the version below happens to be enough.

Lemma 6. *Assume* $(w_m)_m$ *is a* $(P.S.)_c$ *sequence for* J_Ω *in* $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ *such that* $w_m \rightharpoonup 0$ *weakly in* $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ *. Then, there exist sequences* $(x_m)_m \subset \Omega$, $(R_m)_m$ *of raddi* $R_m \to \infty$ *(as* $m \to \infty$*), a nontrivial solution* ω^0 *of* (3) *in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, *or in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{++}$, *and a* $(P.S.)_\beta$ *sequence* $(\widetilde{w}_m)_m$ *for* J_Ω *in* $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ *such that for a subsequence* $(w_m)_m$ *it holds*

$$\widetilde{w}_m = w_m - R_m^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\omega^0(R_m(\cdot - x_m), R_m y) \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \textit{weakly in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{18}$$

Furthermore,

$$J_\Omega(\widetilde{w}_m) \to \beta = c - J_\Omega(\omega^0) \quad \textit{in } H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{19}$$

Finally,

$$\textit{if } J_\Omega(w_m) \to c < c_\infty \quad \textit{then} \quad w_m \to 0 \qquad \textit{in} \quad H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}). \tag{20}$$

Proof. First, we give the argument for (20). Assuming $J(w_m) \to c < c_\infty$, because w_m is a $(PS)_c$ sequence and $w_m \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly, we have

$$\langle DJ(w_m), w_m \rangle = \|w_m\|^2 - |w_m|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp} \to 0$$

Thus, we may assume

$$\|w_m\|^2 \to b \quad \text{and} \quad |w_m|^{2^\sharp}_{2^\sharp} \to b. \tag{21}$$

By the trace-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\|w_m\|^2 \geq S_0 |w_m|^2_{2^\sharp}.$$

Hence $b \geq S_0 b^{2/2^\sharp}$ and either $b = 0$ or $b \geq S_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Assuming the latter $b \geq S_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$c_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^\sharp}\right) S_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^\sharp}\right) b < c_\infty.$$

a contradiction. Thus $b = 0$ and (20) follows from (21).

Second, we present the argument for (18) and (19). If $Tr_\Omega(w_m) \to 0$ in $L^{2^\sharp}(\Omega)$, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1 we conclude that $w_m \to 0$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$, and there is nothing to prove. Hence, we assume $Tr_\Omega(w_m) \nrightarrow 0$ in $L^{2^\sharp}(\Omega)$. Thus

$$\int_\Omega |Tr_\Omega(w_n)|^{2^\sharp} dx \geq \delta \quad \text{for some } \delta \in (0, c_\infty).$$

We define the Levy concentration function

$$Q_m(r) := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B(x,r)} |Tr_\Omega(w_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx.$$

Since $Q_m(0) = 0$ and $Q_m(\infty) > \delta$ there exist a subsequence of $(w_m)_m$, sequences $(x_m)_m$ and $(R_m)_m$ such that $x_m \in \Omega$, $R_m > 0$ and

$$\delta = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B(x,R_m)} |T_\Omega(w_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx = \int_{B(x_m,R_m)} |T_\Omega(w_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx.$$

In view of $w_m \rightharpoonup 0$ it follows that $R_m \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$.

Now, letting

$$\omega_m(x,y) := (R_m)^{\frac{1-n}{2}} w_m(x/R_m + x_m, y/R_m),$$

its is clear, due to the scaling invariance, that

$$\delta = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B(x,1)} |T_\Omega(\omega_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx = \int_{B(0,1)} |T_\Omega(\omega_m)|^{2^\sharp} dx.$$

Moreover, we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_m \rightharpoonup \omega^0 \quad \text{in } \ H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+), \\ &\omega_m \to \omega^0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \ \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\omega^0 \neq 0. \tag{22}$$

Indeed, let us define $\Omega_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } x/R_m + x_m \in \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{C}_m := \Omega_m \times [0,\infty)$, and $f_m \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$\langle DJ_\Omega(w_m), h\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \nabla f_m \cdot \nabla h \, dxdy \quad \text{for every } \ h \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Thus, $g_m(x,y) := R_m^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \ f_m(x/R_m + x_m, y/R_m)$ satisfies

$$\langle DJ_\Omega(w_m), h\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \nabla g_m \cdot \nabla h \, dxdy \quad \text{for every } \ h \in H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C}_m). \tag{23}$$

Because $w_m \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$ and the scaling invariance, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} |\nabla g_m|^2 dxdy = \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla f_m|^2 dxdy = o(1). \tag{24}$$

Now, we show (22) by contradiction. Assume $\omega^0 = 0$, thus

$$\omega_n \to 0 \text{ in } L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+). \tag{25}$$

Let $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ be such that supp $h \subset B^+((x,y),1) = \{(x,y) \in B((x,y),1) \text{ s.t. } y \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ for some $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and $|h| \leq 1$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} |\nabla(h\omega_m)|^2 dxdy &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \nabla\omega_m \cdot \nabla(h^2\omega_m)dxdy + \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} |\nabla h|^2|\omega_m|^2 dxdy}_{\overset{(25)}{=} o(1)} \\
&\overset{(23)}{\leq} \int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \nabla g_m \cdot \nabla(h\omega_m)h \; dxdy + \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} \nabla g_m \cdot \nabla h(h\omega_m)dxdy}_{\overset{(24)}{=} o(1)} + o(1) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} |\nabla(h\omega_m)|^2 dxdy + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{C}_m} |\nabla g_m|^2 dxdy + o(1)}_{\overset{(24)}{=} o(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used $|h| \leq 1$, and the Cauchy inequality. Hence,

$$\nabla\omega_m \to 0 \quad \text{in } \; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+). \tag{26}$$

Now, we claim

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tr_\Omega(w)|^{2^\sharp}|Tr_\Omega(\varphi)|^2 dx \leq S_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\text{supp } w} |Tr_\Omega(w)|^{2^\sharp} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} |\nabla(w\varphi)|^2 dxdy\right)^2, \tag{27}$$

for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$, $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$.

Indeed, Hölder inequality implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tr_\Omega(w)|^{2^\sharp}|Tr_\Omega(\varphi)|^2 dx \leq \left(\int_{\text{supp } w} |Tr_\Omega(w)|^{2^\sharp} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tr_\Omega(w\varphi)|^{2^\sharp} dx\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

and it suffices to use the trace-Sobolev inequality to get (27).

Combining (26) and (27) we conclude that $Tr_\Omega(\omega_m) \to 0$ in $L^{2^\sharp}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. But this is a contradiction with the assumption $\delta > 0$. Hence, (22) follows.

Now, we let

$$\widetilde{w}_m = w_m - R_m^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \omega^0(R_m(\cdot - x_m), R_m y)$$

and

$$\Omega_\infty = \lim_{m\to\infty} \Omega_m.$$

By construction $\widetilde{w}_m \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,L}(\mathcal{C})$, and it is easy to see that we have two possibilities:

$$\Omega_\infty = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{or} \quad \Omega_\infty = \mathbb{R}^n_+.$$

In either case, we may proceed as in the proof of Step 1 to show that w^0 is a weak solution of (3) in $\mathcal{C}_\infty = \Omega_\infty \times [0, \infty)$,

$$J_\Omega(\widetilde{w}_m) = J_\Omega(w_m) - J_\Omega(\omega^0) + o(1) \ \rightarrow \ c - J_\Omega(\omega^0),$$

and

$$DJ_\Omega(\widetilde{w}_m) \rightarrow 0,$$

as claimed. □

Acknowledgements

The author wish to thanks Monica Clapp for suggesting the methods and very fruitful discussions.

References

[1] A. Bahri, J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41, no. 3 (1988) 253–294.

[2] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear el liptic equations in- volving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) 437–477.

[3] X. Cabre, J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian*, prerpint (2009).

[4] M. Clapp, F. Pacella, *Multiple solutions to the pure exponent problem in domains with a hole of arbitrary size*, Vol 259, Math. Z (2008) 575–589.

[5] M. Clapp, T. Weth *Minimal nodal solutions of the pure critical exponent problem on a symmetric domain* Calc. Var. **21** (2004) 1–14.

[6] M. Clapp, T. Weth *Two solutions of the Bahri-Coron problem in punctured domains via the fixed point transfer* Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **10** (2008) 81–101.

[7] J.M. Coron, *Topologie et cas limite des injections de Sobolev* C.r. Acadamy of Science, Paris 299, Ser. I (1984) 209–212.

[8] K. Deimling, *Ordinary diferential equations in Banach spaces,* Lect. Notes. Math. 596, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1977).

[9] J. Escobar, *Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 37 (1988) 687–698.

[10] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case II*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1985) 45–121.

[11] Marchi, F. Pacella *On the existence of nodal solutions of the equation* $-\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2}u$ *with Dirichlet boundary conditions.* Diff. Int. Eq. **6** (1993) 849–862.

[12] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory* Graduate Texts in Mathematics , Vol. 183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1998).

[13] D. Pohozaev, *Eigenfunctions of the equation* $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$. Soviet Math. Dokl. 6 (1965) 1408–1411.

[14] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer, Berlin (1990).

[15] J. Tan, T *he Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian*, preprint (2009).

[16] M. Willem. *Minimax theorems*, PNLDE, 24, Birkhäuser, Boston (1996)